“Shaping the Future” Survey Comments from Portage Township

The following comments (except summaries) are reproduced exactly as written by respondents to the 1997 Houghton County "Shaping Our Future" Land Use Survey who said they lived in Portage Township. All local governments and libraries received full survey results in 1998 (also available at http://emml.mtu.edu/gem/community/planning/planning.html).

Portage Township surveys returned – 194. Total surveys returned, Houghton County – 1,936.

1. Developers should be allowed to develop with little or no restriction because it helps the local economy.
   • Who do you consider a developer - Ray Kestner or John Q public with 5 acres building an outhouse. [1009]*
   • Can be done and still be in the community's best interests [1360]

2. Change brought by economic development should be balanced with actions to preserve community character.
   • all the character involved with Ventures? [1009]

3. Public costs for new water, sewer, and other services should be considered when any development is proposed.
   • People of the community should not be forced into hooking up to public water, sewer when they have state health department approved water supply & septic systems. [1120]

4. “Big City” shopping and entertainment benefit the area.
   • What Big City?? [1009]

5. The benefits of recent commercial development along M-26 and Sharon Avenue outweigh any disadvantages
   • only problem is if I filled the wet lands as they did, Frank Kelly’s jack-booted thugs would be all over me [1009]
   • The benefits in #5 are small to many small businesses already closed or to be closed because of the big stores w/ tax incentives. The jobs created are not a livable wage. “Innovative financing” they should all be on a level playing field. LAND RIGHTS MUST BE VOTED ON BY ALL. [1111]
   • Too late [1399]

6. Similar commercial development along US 41 between Hancock and Calumet would benefit the area.
   • To be similar they would have to develop the Shore of Boston pond to destroy the same amount of wet lands [1009]

7. Lack of jobs, especially for young people, is a problem in our area.
   • jobs for the college educated [14]
   • and activities [17]
   • Jobs need to be above minimum wage, provide benefits & retirement, and demand some skill. [508]
   • Hey we can all throw hash at McDonalds 24 hours per day to make the same annual wage we made at White Pine Mine. [1009]
   • “good paying jobs” [1157]
   • worth while jobs [1399]
   • good, high paying jobs; “career” type jobs [1674]

8. Environmental impacts should be considered when creating new jobs.
   • Fill in all swamps to get rid of insects. [1009]

9. Tax incentives, such as tax abatements, should be used to attract new businesses.
   • If the government went home and stayed there we wouldn’t need all the taxes in the first place [1009]
   • What about our local business owners?? Give big business a break—who wins? or is this a lose-lose situation. [1120]

10. Tax incentives associated with land trusts, conservation easements, and purchase of development rights should be encouraged to help landowners keep their land in its present use if they prefer not to sell to a developer.
    • If you want to save it , buy it with your own money [1009]
    • Copper Harbor - Freda - Houghton & Hancock canal [1027]

11. More recreational opportunities are needed in my township/city/village. If so what kind?
    Summary (with number of responses indicated; verbatim responses on pp. 7-8):
    ❖ non-motorized path (biking paths - 6, cross-country ski trails - 6, walking/nature/hiking trails - 10) - 17
    ❖

*number assigned to survey when received; comments by the same person in response to different statements are followed by the same number.
14. The design and appearance of new buildings and signs are important to the community.
   - Sure make them big so my old eyes can see them [1009]

15. New development would be acceptable to more people if it blended better with the historic buildings in the area.
   - This is a bad question—how do I know what other people think? [729]
   - Sure like the ones on top of Quincy Hill that are falling down [1009]

16. Improvement of existing properties, especially those of historic significance, should be encouraged as an alternative to developing more land.
   - Spend your personal money where you want; no grants that include my taxes. [1009]

17. Some open space and undeveloped land should be protected from future development.
   - If you buy it with your personal funds [1009]
   - A lot [1399]

18. Some roads should be designated as “scenic” and should retain their natural character. If so, which roads?

   Summary (with number of responses indicated; verbatim responses on pp. 8-10):
   - routes up to Copper Harbor (including M-26 and/or US-41) - 32
   - covered road in the Fresa area - 32 (plus 3 Freda or Redridge Road)
   - both Hancock (M203) and/or Houghton Canal Roads - 9
   - Paradise Road - 5
   - lakeshore roads, unspecified - 5
   - Brockway Mountain Drive - 4
   - Tapiola Road - 4
   - Gay-Lac La Belle - 2
   - streets in Calumet - 2
   - Cliff Drive, Bootjack Rd., Sedar Bay Rd., 5-Mile Point Rd., Chassell, N. Superior Rd., Broemer, Manning Rd, every road, Frenchtown/Hardscrabble/Limerick-area roads, a variety of county roads, M-26 south of Painesdale, enough already, no county roads safe to drive, “strip” look on 26 should be limited - 1 each

19. Property owners should have absolute and final say about what happens on their property.
   - Earth, air & water form a system not bound by arbitrary lines. [508]
   - I bought it and it’s protected by patent [1009]
   - They should have final say when they are only preserving the environment (like Delene). Individuals preserving and extending natural areas (like Terry Kinzel) should be acknowledged openly & be reinforced so others follow. The attitude of “jobs at any cost” (like locals from White Pine) is a disaster. The people who promote so much pro-business should work on job training & development. [1090]

20. Private property rights are more important than public interest when a development is proposed
   - If the public want input, invest in the property [1009]

21. We need to balance private property rights and public interest.
   - Private Property - Stupid [1009]
22. Public access to waterfront areas is becoming too limited.
- if current development continues, it will [273]
- Waterfront should all be public—like river-front property in Illinois. [508]
- People are street drowning [1009]

23. Property is becoming unaffordable for local residents.
- with sewage & water [553]
- So what? [729]
- No city people [875]
- Sure - we don’t have enough good paying jobs like many US used to provide. [1009]
- Because of depressed wages [1321]

24. I feel that I have a voice in shaping the future of my community/township/city
- All I want is total voice in what I do with my property. [1009]

25. Neighboring townships, cities, and counties should identify and work together toward shared goals.
- You bet - run out the liberal commies. [1009]

26. Long-range planning is needed to manage growth and to protect our environment and natural resources such as drinking water
- Put a 100-year moratorium on migration into the area. [1009]

C. Comments
General comments not identified with any survey statement were sorted into 33 categories during survey analysis (survey respondents were not aware of these categories). Some general comments that address more than one topic are divided into the appropriate categories, e.g., [251], #25 means that another part of survey 251’s comment is found under category #25. Wording was not changed.

1. Jobs
- See [24] #15
- More employment opportunities are needed in this area. [72]
- Focus more on bringing a good employer here that would employ 400 or 500 people—with good paying jobs. One good industry would do us a lot more good than 20 cut-rate stores or fast food restaurants. [75] #6
- Have a public notification to show us that outside interests that come here actually do hire local people. Have them show it in percent of total workers or # of people hired and why those who didn’t get hired didn’t get hired! [274]
- “Character” is not as important as jobs, and that means sustainable development of our resources of snow, water, & silviculture. The best places for jobs to be created are in composting, recycling, and tourism (especially snow sports, hiking & mountain bking), and remanufacturing based on recycled paper (i.e. cardboard & newsprint). [336]
- Try to attract businesses that are higher paying & higher quality so our youth could grow & have a future with the company instead of “fast food” and national chain type stores that have no future. [1699]
- Some of the environmental concerns have to be put aside so industries can come into our area for much needed jobs for our young people. [1700]
- We need decent paying jobs, bring some industry into the area, all we have are low paying service jobs, few or no benefits, people can’t support a family with these jobs [1911]

2. Business and economic development—general
- We need to attract environmentally clean industry that can be accommodated by our existing transportation system. [237]

3. Concerns about development
- Economical development definitely needs to be planned in respect to the environment we want to maintain. No more prisons please! [14] #31, 32
- Many newcomers forget about the locals. In general, incomes here are low & the beauty of the area is great. We must all work together to protect & enhance the area for economic growth & development. [922]
• There are too many discount stores compared to our population and no forethought was used in allowing so much expansion minus the taxes, water, roads, and snow-plowing increases because of it—let alone all the increased traffic and accidents along M-26. THINK BEFORE YOU PLAN! [1278]
• I do not want this area turned into one big suburb as around Detroit or Chicago. [1321]
• I feel strongly that undeveloped land should be left natural (as is) until purchaser/developer is given O.K. or has submitted approved plan to build. [1365] #11

4. Chain retailers vs. locally owned shops
• Large department stores are taking away the business from small businesses. (No more large stores.) [352] #7, 13, 20, 31
• I oppose chain stores coming into the area, locating outside of town and wrecking the downtown areas economically. [1067] #29

5. Shopping/entertainment/restaurants
• All the bars & taverns should be closed. We do not need liquor available to our teenagers. [26]
• We’d like to see “Red Lobster” & “The Olive Garden” & “Boston Market” open up in Houghton County. Also a “Menard” & more places that are fun to shop, i.e. “Target,” “Best Buy,” “C.D.’s & More,” and another super market like “Econo Foods”… “Krogers” [1435]
• More fast food restaurants, i.e. Arby’s Wendy’s Long John Silver’s, Hungry Howie’s Pizza—need a Meijer 24 hour—appliance stores, aka Sears, Menard [1631]

6. Tourism
• Too much time and money is spent on tourism—tourism only creates minimum wage jobs. [75] #1
• Like Oregon—“Come visit Houghton County but do not stay” [83]
• See [182] #13
• See [336] #1
• See [1459] #21

7. Logging, timber industry, and wood products
• encourage more harvesting of mature trees and process more wood products in the area to create local jobs [61]
• Too many trees are being cut down for lumber. [352] #4, 13, 20, 31
• I realize logging is necessary but clear cutting and then leaving without a proper clean up is an eyesore. [969] #16

8. Mining

9. Development location—downtown, renaissance zones, etc.
• See [1067] #4

10. Development—Calumet/Laurium

11. Development—M-26/Sharon Avenue
• What was done on south side of Sharon Avenue below church & behind Sharon Center to WalMart is an unforgivable eyesore & disturbance of wetlands/wildlife with no visible sign of equal restitution/relocation of these natural resources! It was a nice area to walk in and ponder the history that tied it with the copper mining era too. [1365] #3

12. Future U.S. 41 development, Hancock to Calumet

13. Waterfront development and public access
• Some areas of canal can/should be cleaned up aesthetically such as some of the decaying mines in Ripley & out towards Lake Linden. [17]
• Houghton/Hancock need to cooperate and emphasize public development of their waterfronts. Both towns seem to feel that this land is best sold for private use (produces a tax base?). I would envision small shops, restaurants, bars, etc. on the waterfront attracting tourists & others to our area. Develop the waterway & shoreline for the public. Don’t sell the land for housing! We have precious little real access as it is. A nicely designed waterfront could attract tourists and other clean industry to the area. Promote boating with a large marina. [182]
• The selling of lakefront property should be banned and conserved for public use. [352] #4, 7, 20, 30
• The walking trail along Hwy. 41 on old railroad track should remain open to the public & should not be turned over to the owners of the properties through which it runs!!! [849] #30
• Save Bete Gris beach and other beaches and shoreline not yet residential, save Lake Superior shoreline from development—anyway because of high water it is undesirable to develop it. Try to get Nature Conservancy to buy more of Keweenaw & Houghton Counties. [1866]

14. Property values and taxes

15. Planning
• Development of the wrong kind is starting to get out-of-hand. It is time to back off & plan for a more sustainable economy, offering jobs that are more compatible with our environment—a more sustainable pattern—not just “Boon & Bust.” Need foresight—better future planning and all segments of population involved!! Please—let’s not forget why we live here! If I want “strips”, noise, traffic, pollution, etc.—I’d live in a city! [24]
• We have the opportunity to avoid a lot of the development mistakes made downstate. With planning we can have economic development without the commercial strip development and suburban sprawl so common elsewhere. [81]
• Growth management will continue to be a low priority in Houghton County. Few communities are willing to spend even modest $$ for planning. Maybe this survey will show elected officials that people do care about the change occurring. [887]
• I would really like to become more involved in the long term planning of our area. Strip malls and burger joints are really a detriment to our community. We need to find alternative, sustainable development that benefits the local people. [927]
• This type of long-range planning is long overdue. [1439]

16. Cooperation among units of government
• See [182] #13
• Governmental units must change the way each city, village operates. They try to do everything alone. An example of this is solid waste. [553] # 30
• All units of government should work together for the good of all. [969] #7

17. Lack of public input in development decisions, favoritism
• See [441] #25
• I would like to see a “Town Hall Forum” open to all in cooperation with the state representatives and senator in a regular basis so that we, the people can make our opinions public. There are too many causes that are passed before the public knows about them. Town hall meetings are very informative and people can debate the pros and cons of an issue before it becomes law. Environmental controls are not always in the interest of the INDIVIDUALS.[888]
• I have felt for years that when our city fathers want something they will get it at any cost!!! Right or wrong. My feeling extends to both sides of the lift bridge. [1287] #32
• I feel that Moyle Construction has been given too many privileges in the community. Rules have been bent because of many Moyle deals! Rules & laws are made for everyone, so why do people bend for Moyle? The company is just a user & a taker, which should be investigated (i.e. Tech Venture money since relation is involved there)!!! [1400]
• I don’t think politicians & city officials should make drastic changes in a community without the people having a say in it. I read the newspapers & watch TV—the greed & unfair practices make me sick. The property owner no longer has any rights. [1723]
• It appears that the ones benefiting from recent developments are the same group of people who have benefited before. Public information is very limited. Why is that? [1757]

18. Zoning, ordinances, building permits
• See [1027] #29

19. Balancing environment and development
• The essence of the area must be preserved with heavy emphasis on preservation of natural resources, quality of life. If we resort to fast food places, strip malls, etc., what makes us different from anywhere else? A proactive approach to the development of the area is much needed. [1651]
• See [1700] #1

20. Cultural history/community character/appearance/signs
• Too many unused and rundown buildings in the downtown of Hancock & Calumet. [352] # 4, 7, 13, 31
• I really wish that Taco Bell would lower its sign to be comparable in height to Blockbuster & Godfathers. It is such an eyesore (particularly when coming down M-26 from the mall) to see that sign right smack in the middle of the gorgeous view of the Hancock/Ripley hillside. [1523]

• More & more people discover the scenic beauty of this area every year. They come for history, fishing/hunting, and simply to be awed by the sights some of us take for granted. This area is one of the few places that still retains the myth of unspoiled beauty, yet recent developments seem to challenge this. [1630]

21. Small-town feeling/quality of life

• I loved the U.P. as it was when we first moved here 18 years ago. So much more crime than there was then. I’d like to see it again as it was. [276]

• We are losing our small-town identity, it is getting to be like a city. Where is the small town? Keep it as it is—we have enough commercial & small stores. [875] #29, 32

• I wish people would quit trying to make this a big city and quit inviting non-residents to live and vacation here. Thank you. [1459]

22. Historic buildings/improving existing properties/blending old and new

• See [832] #29

23. Open space, undeveloped land

24. Drinking water, wastewater, and health concerns

• Township needs access to sewer system without having to capitulate to city of Houghton in “425’s” [63]

• New water lines on Park Avenue in Dakota Heights, Portage Township; poor water pressure. Should go back to Adams Twp. Water—pure, clean water [391]

• People who have state approved septic/water systems should not be forced to connect to public systems and then at the property owners expense, for the advantages of developers only! [1119] #26

• If we are concerned about our environment, why was a gas station allowed on 41 (Amoco), east of Houghton, several years ago? RIGHT ABOVE (North) the city water wells! I tell you why: they “promised” lower gas prices; go compare them now!! No difference! [1895]

25. Property rights and regulations

• I find the DNR has too much power over the use of our land. Would like to see some of their power taken away and just manage wildlife & fish like they used to. [247]

• We should maintain the right to preserve our natural resources along with the right to be able to decide what is good for our community. [441]

• We don’t have no rights! [444]

• In regards to property owners, if property owners do not have final say: isn’t that saying nobody really owns their own property? [471]

• Leased land by owners have been overtaken by developers through “ventures” without given first choice to buy & when inquired by owner, they “ventures” can not give a reason or simply keep putting us off. I still don’t know my rights. (This one developer seems to have taken over the Copper Country—not good. [543]

• Involve DNR as little as possible. They should not be able to control private property. [1061]

• See [1723] #17

26. Road maintenance, traffic control, and safety

• For future development and welfare of the area a second way across Portage Canal should be considered. [579] #30

• We need road improvements & repairs badly!! [801]

• travel up and down Park St. (old M-26) as soon as possible. [852]

• We need to remove the road commissioner. [1068]

• Laws are not being enforced now, people plowing snow out on the public roads, snowmobiles going at high speeds down the middle of county roads and on M26. It seems a special few can do whatever they want to and are not accountable to any state, county, federal laws. [1119] #24

• What good is this survey when laws are not enforced now—snowmobiles on highway—people plowing on roads, and then of course it seems that a special few abide by NO law. [1120]

• Before developing any area, adequate roads have to be set up. Not try to fix the problem 10 years later. [1399]

• Shorter access from M38 to towns north along Tapiola road would be improved by resurfacing said road. [1411]

27. Snow removal
28. **Public and non-motorized transportation**
   - county-wide transportation (at least Chassell-Houghton-Hancock-South Range-Calumet) should be enhanced. Too many low income & public assistance dependent families have to live in outer areas but jobs are in Houghton-Hancock core. [76]

29. **Tax incentives**
   - **No tax breaks for business**, we have to pay every year. [875] #21, 32
   - Tax incentives such as tax abatements etc. should be available to improve existing properties, particularly downtown areas. These properties could attract new business and create jobs, just as well as the new urban sprawl on M-26. [832]
   - I have noticed that most people in this area do not keep their property in good repair. Junk cars - Junk in yards - yards are not kept up. I think ordinances should be made to encourage more beautification along with tax incentives to accomplish this [1027]
   - If you give tax deductions to lure business in, what about those that have been paying taxes for years? [1067] #4
   - I do not understand “land trusts, conservation easements, & purchase of development rights…” & the ramifications of such tax incentives. [1566]

30. **Government and public services**
   - We need a strong county manager. [553] # 16
   - We also need 911 in this area even if we raise taxes. [579] #26
   - More publicity needed for yearly disposal day of hazardous household materials so people don’t throw hazardous things into trash. [849] #25
   - Stronger County government could benefit all in the areas of planning and recreation. We need some new blood in county leadership. [925]

31. **Recreation**
   - Snowmobiles & jet skis need some limitations. [14] #3, 32
   - See [182] #13
   - See [336] #1
   - Too much hunting is allowed for the small amount of wildlife here. [352] # 4, 7, 13, 20

32. **Survey**
   - These questions read somewhat slanted. [14] #3, 31
   - Thank you for allowing us to participate in this survey!! [197]
   - Too new in the area to have an opinion on #22, 23. [272]
   - Thanks for doing the survey. I would be interested in the results. What will be done with this information? [273]
   - Thanks for letting us give our views. [875] #21, 29
   - See [887] #15
   - See [1120] #26
   - Thank you for taking the time to hear. [1287] #17
   - This questionnaire helps. [1428]
   - The wording of several statements is biased [1, 2, 12, 22, 23]. Different wording may draw out very different responses. [1522]

33. **Miscellaneous**
   - The greatest threat we have to the area are all the liberals the college (MTU) attracts to the area. [1009]
   - There’s lots of room for improvement. Sure wish I had a lot of money to at least help the kids stay off the streets. [1364]

### #11 (recreational needs) verbatim responses, grouped generally from most common topic to least:

- non-motorized hiking/biking trails [81]
- ski trails, hiking trails, camping [503]
- bike trails [731]
- More hiking trails and walking trails throughout community & peninsula, also some lookout towers. [849]
- X-country skiing [881]
- Preserve/develop the rail corridor for a public non-motorized trail [887]
- Parks, ski trails, nature walks [911]
• Walking & biking trails, curtailment or limiting of snowmobiling and ORV use [1090]
• bike trails, nature walks, fishing access on rivers near town [1234]
• nature trail behind Hurontown [1287]
• ski/walking trails in wooded areas [1439]
• Would like to see the walking, biking trail extended toward Chassell (where the railroad tracks were removed last summer); kayak/canoe day tours along waterways [1674]
• More bike trails would be nice [1725]
• Ski trails maintained [1798]
• soccer fields, or multi-purpose fields (soccer, baseball, etc.) [11]
• baseball fields [553]
• Some “good” outdoor tennis and basketball courts, soccer fields, a good place for roller blading, ice rinks with more accessibility by the general public (not limited by hockey schedules) [909]
• Better ball fields/beaches [1061]
• softball & soccer fields [1224]
• soccer fields/sports fields (field hockey, baseball, soccer, etc.) [1523]
• Baseball field, tennis courts [1723]
• golf, tennis courts, playground [1360]
• marina facilities improved—south canal entry/north canal entry [237]
• Ice rinks (skating), toboggan slides, fishing boats, boating & docking privileges, perhaps a ferry boat in summer [888]
• More public land on Lake Superior [920]
• Take our lakeshore property, Sunshine Beach is now privately owned—if it’s good for the rich it goes no matter what—the poor working man who supports the rich lose. [1120]
• organized activities for teens, a safe place to hang out [273]
• open schools & colleges pools & gymnasiums on weekends to high school students [1321]
• some place for teenagers, it’s a shame there’s absolutely nothing for that age of kids [1364]
• recreation facilities (low cost for young people) to revert youngsters (teens) off public facilities (streets, boardwalks, street corners, etc.), perhaps a YMCA [1365]
• YMCA, YWCA or similar youth centers, public parks & beaches [1642]
• teen center, YMCA [1934]
• park, playground, hiking trails [300]
• more parks and camping places [541]
• Parks [1106]
• a place to exercise my dog on a leash; & pets welcome picnic area [1436]
• activities/programs especially for school children and especially in summer to keep them positively involved [17]
• swing sets, slides [247]
• more play areas for children so they’re off the roads [441]
• playground [471]
• Play areas, especially indoor, for small (preschool age) children [922]
• A coordinated approach to youth activities & sports such as a parks & recreation dept. would provide [925]
• more ice rinks [1459]
• hobby stores, more ice rinks available to public, miniature golf course [1631]
• Develop/promote x-c skiing—especially Houghton area! Decrease snow mobiles (like to get rid of them altogether) and jet skis. [24]
• We residents need protection from persons misusing recreational opportunities—noise & pollution of snowmobiles, riding on city streets, speeding [508]
• A park district program offering adult education, art classes, exercise programs, etc. [927]
• Those that develop physical and mental abilities. [1067]
• skateboard area, roller blade rink [189]
• restaurant, local store [272]
• restoration of Dolle School as a community center [274]
• snow sports, especially non-mechanized [336]
• entertainment & culture [543]
• family-oriented [579]
• Sure give all young adults a rifle & fish pail [1009]
• we need work [1221]
• need roads [1399]
• OK as is [1651]

#18 (scenic roads) verbatim responses, grouped generally from most common topic to least:
• US 41 Calumet-Copper Harbor [54]
  scenic 41 to Copper Harbor, Stanton Twp. covered road [63]
  from Calumet to Copper Harbor [243]
  US 41 Copper Harbor [255]
  US 41 from Calumet to Copper Harbor [273]
  US 41 at Copper Harbor & Great Sand Bay [275]
  US 41 to Copper Harbor, covered road—Stanton Twp [332]
• 41 from Hancock up to Copper Harbor [352]
  from Mohawk to Copper Harbor [447]
• Road to Copper Harbor, M-26 in Keweenaw County [729]
• Hwy. 41 to Copper Harbor [849]
• Road to Copper Harbor [883]
• Scenic highway 41—Keweenaw Co., Brockway Mt. Drive [887]
• US 41 north of Mohawk, Brockway Mt. Drive, M-28 between Copper Harbor & Eagle River, Phoenix & that area, Portage Entry area, etc. [888]
• US 41 near Copper Harbor [893]
• Road to Copper Harbor [909]
• M26 & US 41 Calumet to Copper Harbor & others I don’t know the names of [922]
• All Copper Harbor roads, Tapiola, Painesdale, Chassell, no more development South & Southeast from Cemetery to Superior Road [875]
• US 41 Keweenaw County, covered road [965]
  those going to Copper Harbor [1402]
• M26—Phoenix to Copper Harbor [1651]
  US 41 to Copper Harbor [1738]
• all roads north of bridge [1321]
• roads in Keweenaw County [1328]
• Covered road in Freda & US 41 near Copper Harbor [1442]
• covered road, M-41 above lift bridge [76]
• covered drive, US 41 to Copper Harbor [189]
• Covered road, Hwy. 41 & 26 to Copper Harbor [731]
• “covered drive” off Houghton canal road [11]
• Freda Road [247]
• covered road, 5th & 6th Streets in Calumet, Frenchtown/Hardscrabble/Limerick area [336]
• covered drive (Freda)
• covered drive, Sedar Bay Road, N. Superior Road, most back roads [500]
• covered road to Redridge, Houghton/Hancock Canal roads, streets in Calumet—historical preservation [503]
• covered road—Liminga [541]
• covered road, Gay-Lac LaBelle Roads [579]
• covered road to Freda [585]
• Covered road west of Houghton, scenic 41 in Keweenaw County [853]
• Covered road, places along waterfront [927]
• Covered road (Redridge one), “scenic” 41 [946]
• Covered road, Scenic drive Copper Harbor [1035]
• Covered Rd in Liminga, Manning Rd in Portage Twp. [1061]
• Covered road Onela [1067]
• the covered drive in Stanton Township—too late for Houghton Canal [1120]
• covered Bridge Rd. [1224]
• covered road, Copper Harbor Rd. [1225]
• Superior Rd, covered road, Houghton Canal Road, Broemer, Paradise [1234]
• covered road—Atlantic Mine [1257]
• covered drive [1287]
• the “covered” road in Stanton Twp. [1372]
• arboreal trail [1428]
• The covered road [1631]
• arboreal tunnel [1863]

• Hancock Canal, but speed limits should be lowered. It’s still somewhat residential [17]
• Houghton Canal [197]
• Houghton/Hancock canal roads [237]
• Houghton Canal Road, Tapiola Road [881]
• Roads to McLain & waterworks, Freda Road, covered road, etc. [925]
• M-26 to McLain Park & US 41 Mohawk to Copper Harbor [1435]
• M-203, US 41 Keweenaw County [1842]
• M203, US 41 [1866]

• Paradise Road [72]
• Paradise Road [272]
• Paradise, Superior/Green Acres, US 41 (both towards Baraga & Calumet), M203 towards McLain [1523]
• Paradise, 26 & 41 from Hancock to Copper Harbor [1630]

• roads along lakeshore [192]
• Lakeshore and forest roads [230]
• All along our Superior shore & many others [276]
• Those along Lake Superior [969]

• Brockway Mountain Drive [1642]
• Roads to Brockway Mountain Drive[1723]

• Donken-Tapiola, Redridge area, canal roads, Bootjack Rd., Gay-Lac LaBelle [81]
• The “Strip” look (on 26) should be limited! [24]
• 5 mile point road—Freda road [444]
• Cliff Drive, covered road, etc. [911]
• Not one county road in Houghton County is safe to drive as is [1009]
• there’s enough of them already [1364]
• M-26 south of Painesdale [1439]